From the INTERNATIONAL BUREAU

PCT

NOTIFICATION CONCERNING TRANSMITTAL OF COPY OF INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY (CHAPTER I OF THE PATENT COOPERATION TREATY)

(PCT Rule 44bis, 1(c))

Date of mailing (day/month/year) 05 February 2009 (05.02.2009)

Applicant's or agent's file reference IGT1P218.WO To:

PLUT, William, J. BEYER WEAVER LLP P.O. Box 70250 Oakland, California 94612-0250 ETATS-UNIS D'AMERIQUE

IMPORTANT NOTICE

International application No. PCT/US2007/016367 International filing date (day/month/year) 19 July 2007 (19.07.2007) Priority date (day/month/year) 21 July 2006 (21.07.2006)

Applicant

IGT et al

The International Bureau transmits herewith a copy of the international preliminary report on patentability (Chapter I of the Patent Cooperation Treaty)



The International Bureau of WIPO 34, chemin des Colombettes 1211 Geneva 20, Switzerland Authorized officer

Simin Baharlou

e-mail: pt09.pct@wipo.int

Facsimile No. +41 22 338 82 70 Porm PCT/IB/326 (January 2004)



PATENT COOPERATION TREAT.

PCT

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY

(Chapter I of the Patent Cooperation Treaty)

(PCT Rule 44bis)

Applicant's or agent's file reference IGT1P218.WO	FOR FURTHER ACTION	See item 4 below		
	International filing date (day/month/year) 19 July 2007 (19.07.2007)	Priority date (day/month/year) 21 July 2006 (21.07.2006)		
International Patent Classification (8th edition unless older edition indicated) See relevant information in Form PCT/ISA/237				
Applicant IGT				

1.	This international preliminary report on patentability (Chapter I) is issued by the International Bureau on behalf of the International Searching Authority under Rule 44 bis.1(a).		
2.	This REPORT consists of a total of 7 sheets, including this cover sheet.		
	In the attached sheets, any refere to the international preliminary re	nce to the written opinion of the International Searching Authority should be read as a reference port on patentability (Chapter I) instead.	
3.	This report contains indications relating to the following items:		
	Box No. I	Basis of the report	
	Box No. II	Priority	
	Box No. III	Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability	
	Box No. IV	Lack of unity of invention	
	Box No. V	Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement	
	Box No. VI	Certain documents cited	
	Box No. VII	Certain defects in the international application	
	Box No. VIII	Certain observations on the international application	
4.	The International Bureau will communicate this report to designated Offices in accordance with Rules 44bis.3(c) and 93bis.1 but not, except where the applicant makes an express request under Article 23(2), before the expiration of 30 months from the priority date (Rule 44bis.2).		

	27 January 2009 (27.01.2009)
The international Buleau of WIPO	Authorized officer
34, chemin des Colombettes 1211 Geneva 20, Switzerland	Simin Baharlou
Facsimile No. +41 22 338 82 70	e-mail: pt09.pct@wipo.int

Porm PCT/IB/373 (January 2004)

TENT COOPERATION TREATY

From the INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY To: WRITTEN OPINION OF THE see form PCT/ISA/220 INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY (PCT Rule 43bis.1) Date of mailing (day/month/year) see form PCT/ISA/210 (second sheet) Applicant's or agent's file reference FOR FURTHER ACTION see form PCT/ISA/220 See paragraph 2 below International application No. International filing date (day/month/year) Priority date (day/month/year) PCT/US2007/016367 19.07.2007 21.07.2006 International Patent Classification (IPC) or both national classification and IPC INV. G07F17/32 Applicant IGT This opinion contains indications relating to the following items: Box No. I Basis of the opinion Box No. II Priority Box No. III Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability D Box No. IV Lack of unity of invention Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial Box No. V applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement ☐ Box No. VI Certain documents cited Box No. VII Certain defects in the international application Box No. VIII Certain observations on the international application FURTHER ACTION If a demand for international preliminary examination is made, this opinion will usually be considered to be a written opinion of the International Preliminary Examining Authority ("IPEA") except that this does not apply where the applicant chooses an Authority other than this one to be the IPEA and the chosen IPEA has notified the International Bureau under Rule 66.1 bis(b) that written opinions of this International Searching Authority will not be so considered. If this opinion is, as provided above, considered to be a written opinion of the IPEA, the applicant is invited to submit to the IPEA a written reply together, where appropriate, with amendments, before the expiration of 3 months from the date of mailing of Form PCT/ISA/220 or before the expiration of 22 months from the priority date, whichever expires later. For further options, see Form PCT/ISA/220. For further details, see notes to Form PCT/ISA/220. Name and mailing address of the ISA: Date of completion of Authorized Officer this opinion European Patent Office ©-80296 Munich see form Kling, Jonas

PCTASA/210

Telephone No. +49 89 2399-6045

Tel. +49 89 2399 - 0 Tx: 523656 epmu d Fax: +49 89 2399 - 4465

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No. PCT/US2007/016367

_	В	ОX	No. I Basis of the opinion		
1.	w	Vith regard to the language, this opinion has been established on the basis of:			
	Ø	t	he international application in the language in which it was filed		
		F	a translation of the international application into, which is the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of international search (Rules 12.3(a) and 23.1 (b)).		
2.		1	This opinion has been established taking into account the rectification of an obvious mistake authorized by or notified to this Authority under Rule 91 (Rule 43bis.1(a))		
3.	Wi	Vith regard to any nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application and ecessary to the claimed invention, this opinion has been established on the basis of:			
	a. type of material:				
			a sequence listing		
			table(s) related to the sequence listing		
	b.	for	mat of material:		
			on paper		
			in electronic form		
	c. 1	tim	e of filing/fumishing:		
			contained in the international application as filed.		
			filed together with the international application in electronic form.		
		Ò	furnished subsequently to this Authority for the purposes of search.		
			•		
4 .		h	addition, in the case that more than one version or copy of a sequence listing and/or table relating thereto as been filled of furnished, the required statements that the information in the subsequent or additional opies is identical to that in the application as filed or does not go beyond the application as filed, as porcoriate, were furnished.		

5. Additional comments:

Box No. V Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

1. Statement

Novelty (N)

Yes: Claims

No: Claims 1-35

Inventive step (IS)

Yes: Claims

No: Claims 1-35

Industrial applicability (IA)

Yes: Claims

1-35 No: Claims

2. Citations and explanations

see separate sheet

Box No. VIII Certain observations on the international application

The following observations on the clarity of the claims, description, and drawings or on the question whether the claims are fully supported by the description, are made:

see separate sheet

PER 13 200

Form PCT/ISA/237 (April 2007)

Re Item V.

1 Reference is made to the following documents:

D1: WO 2005/028056 A

D2: US 2005/170890 A1

D3: US 6 110 041 A

- 2 Independent claims 1, 18, 20, 27 and 33
- 2.1 The present application does not meet the criteria of Article 33(1) PCT, because the subject-matter of claim 1 is not new in the sense of Article 33(2) PCT.
 Document D1 discloses (the references in parentheses applying to this document):

A method for offering a set of recommended games to a person near a gaming machine (cf. abstract), the method comprising:

storing personal game selection information that is useful for selecting a game from multiple games for the person (cf. fig.7(64); p.6 l.29-32; p.8 l.31-33; p.13 l.22-32);

selecting the set of recommended games, from a set of available games, for the person using the personal game selection information (cf. fig.4(60); p.8 l.33 - p.9 l.12; p.10 l.32 - p.11 l.10); and

displaying the set of recommended games to the person on a video display (cf. fig.5; p.6 l.2-13) associated with the gaming machine.

Therefore the subject-matter of independent claim 1 does not satisfy the criterion set forth in Article 33(2) PCT regarding novelty.

It is also pointed out that both documents D2 and D3 also discloses all the features of claim 1.

- 2.2 The above argumentation also applies to independent claims 18, 20, 27 and 33 which seem to relate to the same subject-matter as claim 1. Therefore the subject-matter of independent claims 18, 20, 27 and 33 does not satisfy the criterion set forth in Article 33(2) PCT regarding novelty.
- 3 Dependent claim 2-17, 19, 21-26, 28-32, 34, 35

Dependent claims 2-17, 19, 21-26, 28-32, 34, 35 do not contain any features which, in combination with the features of any claim to which they refer, meet the requirements of the PCT in respect of novelty or inventive step (Article 33(2) and (3) PCT). All the additional features of dependent claims 2-17, 19, 21-26, 28-32, 34, 35 are at least implicitly disclosed in any of the cited documents (see the passages cited in the search report) or do relate to the rules of playing games and cannot therefore contribute with any inventive subject-matter.

Re Item VIII.

4 Although claims 1, 18, 20, 27 and 33 have been drafted as separate independent claims, they appear to relate effectively to the same subject-matter and to differ from each other only with regard to the definition of the subject-matter for which protection is sought or in respect of the terminology used for the features of that subject-matter. The aforementioned claims therefore lack conciseness and as such do not meet the requirements of Article 6 PCT.

Independent claims 1, 18, 20, 27 and 33 are not in the two-part form in accordance with Rule 6.3(b) PCT, which in the present case would be appropriate, with those features known in combination from the prior art (document D1) being placed in the preamble (Rule 6.3(b)(i) PCT) and with the remaining features being included in the characterising part (Rule 6.3(b)(ii) PCT).

The features of the claims are not provided with reference signs placed in parentheses (Rule 6.2(b) PCT).

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY (SEPARATE SHEET)

International application No.

PCT/US2007/016367